

LISTA DE ASISTENTES

Membros de la Comisión:

Carmen García Mateo (presidenta)
Manuel García Sánchez (secretario)
José Ramón Fernández Bernárdez
Andrés Suarez González
Alberto Gil Solla
Cristina López Bravo

Acta da sesión da Comisión Académica do Programa de Doutoramento Doc_TIC da Universidade de Vigo, de trece de xuño de dous mil catorce, reunida ás 12:00 horas na sala de reunións A021 da E.E. Telecomunicación.

O Venres, **día 13 de xuño de 2014**, ás 12:00 horas, comezou a sesión da Comisión Académica do Programa de Doutoramento Doc_TIC, cos asistentes que figuran á marxe, sendo presidida pola catedrática Carmen García Mateo, e actuando como secretario o catedrático Manuel García Sánchez

Outros asistentes:

Luis Álvarez Sabucedo

A orde do día contén os seguintes puntos:

1. Informe da Coordinadora
2. Aprobación, se procede, de actas anteriores.
3. Aprobación, se procede, da declaración de interese de modificación da memoria de doutoramento.
4. Aprobación, se procede, do procedemento e criterios de avaliación do Plan Anual de Investigación.
5. Rogos e preguntas

Punto 1. Informe da Coordinadora

A presidenta da Comisión informa de dous asuntos:

- EIDO ven de aprobar a convocatoria de bolsas de mobilidade para 2014. A súa publicación é inminente.
- EIDO aprobou calendario de matrícula para o curso 2014/15.

Punto 2. Aprobación, se procede, de actas anteriores

Apróbase por asentimento a acta da reunión do 15/5/14.

Punto 3. Aprobación, se procede, da declaración de interese de modificación da memoria de doutoramento.

Apróbase por asentimento a declaración de interese do Anexo I.

Punto 4. Aprobación, se procede, do procedemento e criterios de avaliación do Plan Anual de Investigación.

Apróbanse por asentimento o procedemento e criterios do Anexo II.

Punto 5. Rogos e preguntas

Non hai,

Non habendo máis asuntos que tratar, levántase a sesión cando son as 12:50 h.

O Secretario

VºBº
A Presidenta

Manuel García Sánchez

Carmen García Mateo

ANEXO I

Declaración de interese. Programas de doutoramento regulados polo RD 99/2011 a impartir no 2015/16

Normativa reguladora máis relevante: RD 99/2001 polo que se regulan as ensinanzas oficiais de doutoramento, Decreto 222/2011 polo que se regulan as ensinanzas universitarias oficiais no ámbito da Comunidade Autónoma de Galicia, Orde ORDE do 20 de marzo de 2012 pola que se desenvolve o Decreto 222/2011, e Acordos do Consello de Goberno da Universidade de 24 de maio de 2012 e 6 de xuño de 2014

Denominación do programa de doutoramento proposto	Programa de doctorado en Tecnologías de la Información y las Comunicaciones por la Universidad de Vigo
Universidades participantes	Universidade de Vigo
Colaboración doutras entidades (non universidades)	Indicar se na proposta se contempla/prevé a colaboración, expresada mediante convenio, doutros organismos, centros, institucións ou entidades
Datos do/a coordinador/a do programa (universidade coordinadora)	Nome: Carmen García Mateo
	Email: carmen.garcia@uvigo.es
	Teléfono de contacto: 986812133
	Nº de teses dirixidas:
	Sexenios (indicar períodos)
	Categoría profesional:
Datos do/a coordinador/a local na universidade 2	Nome:
	Email:
	Teléfono de contacto:
	Nº de teses dirixidas:
	Sexenios (indicar períodos)
	Categoría profesional:
Datos do/a coordinador/a local na universidade 3 (engadir tantas filas como sexan necesarias)	Nome:
	Email:
	Teléfono de contacto:
	Nº de teses dirixidas:
	Sexenios (indicar períodos)
	Categoría profesional:
É unha modificación dun programa existente regulado polo RD99/2013 xa implantado	<input type="checkbox"/> Non
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Si (Resume brevemente o alcance das modificacións propostas) Modificación de la lista de las líneas de investigación. Fundamentalmente será propondrá un listado que englobe el actual y contenga un número de líneas significativamente menor.
Necesidade, interese e obxectivos e xustificación do programa	Incluir unha breve aínda que precisa descrición da necesidade, interese e obxectivos do programa, e a súa adecuación aos requisitos que figuran artigo 4 do Decreto 222/2011
É a proposta unha unión/refundición de programas existentes regulados polo RD 1393/2007 ou 99/2011?	<input type="checkbox"/> Non
	<input type="checkbox"/> Si, indicar nome/s do/s programa/s de doutoramento existentes e o número de alumnos/as matriculados/as por curso académico no programa/s dende a súa implantación

ANEXO II

Procedemento e criterios de avaliación do Plan Anual de Investigación

Procedure for the Evaluation of the RESEARCH PLAN PhD Program on Information and Communications Technology of the University of Vigo

Academic year 2013-2014

Approved by the Academic Committee on June 13, 2014

General Considerations:

1. This activity is compulsory for all the students enrolled in the academic year 2013-2014.
2. The working language is English
3. A rubric for evaluation is approved by the Academic Committee of the PhD program. This rubric will be used for all students. Nevertheless, evaluation committees will take into consideration the actual dedication of the students: Full- time students, Part-time students, and students enrolled in the second semester. This rubric is in Annex 1.
4. This rubric will be completed by the Evaluation Committee, and by the advisor of the thesis. In case of more of one advisor, all advisors must agree on a single rubric.
5. The Evaluation Committee will propose a Score to the Academic Committee of the PhD Program (CA-Doc_TIC). CA-Doc_TIC will be in charge of delivering the final score.
6. There will two Evaluation Committees:
 - Computer Science and Networking (IT):
 - Prof. Cristina López Bravo
 - Prof. Manuel Ramos Cabrer
 - Prof. Pedro S. Rodríguez Hernández
 - Signal Processing and Communications (TSC):
 - Prof. Mónica Fernández Barciela
 - Prof. Carlos Mosquera Nartallo
 - Prof. Antonio Pena Giménez
7. Each student will be assigned to one of the evaluation committees based on the research line of his/her PhD work. This assignment is made by the CA-Doc_TIC. The list is in Annex 2.

Evaluation procedure and calendar:

- a. All students should prepare a poster. The guidelines for posters can be found on the Doc_TIC web site. The pdf file of the poster must be sent by **14:00 (CET) of Monday, June 30, 2014** to the address doc_tic@uvigo.es. Doc_TIC will be in charge of the printing.
- b. The advisor(s) should sent the rubric by **Tuesday, July 1, 2014** to the address doc_tic@uvigo.es
- c. The evaluation by the committees will take place during the poster session of the workshop (**July 3, 2014**).
- d. Those students unable to attend the workshop will contact the Doc_TIC coordinator (doc_tic@uvigo.es) by **Friday, June 20, 2014** explaining the reason for his/her absence. Upon acceptance, one member of the corresponding evaluation committee will contact the student in order to set up an appointment by Skype. The students will receive the instructions about how the evaluation will be conducted.
- e. The evaluation committees will deliver the score by **July 10, 2014 to the CA-Doc_TIC**. Those students who fail will be granted with a two-week period to correct the observed deficiencies. Final scores will be delivered to the CA-Doc_TIC by July 24.

Annex 1
EVALUATION RUBRIC: RESEARCH PLAN
PhD Program on Information and Communications Technology of the University of Vigo

Objective: Evaluation of the research activities carried out and defence of the research plan for the coming year. Precisely, it will be assessed the ability to effectively communicate scientific concepts and ideas to a scientific audience. The evaluation committee evaluates this requirement for all PhD candidates annually. This rubric will be completed by the evaluation committee and by the advisor(s)

Name of student: _____

Date of exam: _____

Name(s) of reviewers: _____

Signatures:

Criteria	High (3)	Medium (2)	Low (1)	SCORE
General (Layout of the poster)	Poster is organized correctly and clearly written.	Majority of poster is organized correctly.	Poster is not organized properly.	
Poster Format	Appropriate content is in each section of poster.	Appropriate content found in majority of sections, but some information is misplaced. Some sections require additional clarification.	Majority of the information is placed in wrong section or missing.	
Data Presentation in Tables and Figures	Data are presented clearly and accurately in tables & figures	Data presentation may be incomplete or lacking clarity.	Data presentation in tables and figures is incomplete and lacks clarity.	
References	Appropriate references and format	Some inappropriate citations and Format.	Few/zero citations listed.	

Criteria	High (3)	Medium (2)	Low (1)	SCORE
Motivation of the work Background & Technical Need Putting research in the context of the field	Clear description of the long-term technical goals will this work serve.	Fair description of the long-term technical goals will this work serve.	Poor description of the long-term technical goals will this work serve.	
Objectives & Expected Significance	Clear statement of the question(s) that will be addressed.	Vague/unclear statement of the question(s) that will be addressed.	Statement of the question(s) that will be addressed is absent.	
Research Plan Description of experimental/theoretical approach and analysis Next year planning	Clear description of the research strategy	Fair description of the research strategy	Poor or absent description of the research strategy	
Analysis/interpretation of (preliminary) results Plan for placing results obtained into current state of the field Analyzed and interpreted research results/data effectively	Clear description of the expected results and plan for evaluating the results	Fair description of the expected results and plan for evaluating the results	Poor or absent description of the expected results and plan for evaluating the results	

Criteria	High (3)	Medium (2)	Low (1)	SCORE
Critical Thinking: Demonstrated capability for independent research in the area of study, significant expertise in the area, and ability to make original contributions to the field	Good	Fair	Poor	
Quality of Communication(*): Communicated research results and implications clearly and professionally in both (a) written and (b) oral form.	Good	Fair	Poor	

(*) The advisor(s) should only take into account the quality of written communication

Overall Assessment: The assessment of the overall performance of the student based on the evidence provided in items above.

CRITERIA	PERFORMANCE RATINGS			
	Research Plan Unacceptable NOT approved	Research Plan Acceptable APPROVED		
OVERALL	Poor (One or more Low Scores) Provide explanation and/or suggestions	Acceptable (0-2 High Scores)	Very Good (3-5 High Scores)	Excellent (6-7 High Scores)

Provide comments and/or suggestions:

Annex 2 Academic year 2013-2014

Nº	Student Name	Advisor(s)	Semester of Enrollment	Enrollment Status (Full Time/ Part Time)	Evaluation Committee
1	BEN KHALIFA, Mohamed	Rebeca P. Díaz Redondo Ana Fernández Vilas	1º	FT	IT
2	BLANCO RODICIO, Brais	Martín Llamas Nistal	1º	PT	IT
3	CABEZA IRISARRI, Marcos	María Soledad Torres Guijarro	1º	PT	TSC
4	CEREZO COSTAS, Héctor	Fco. Javier González Castaño	1º	PT	IT
5	CHAVES DIÉGUEZ, David	Felipe Gil Castiñeira Javier Vales Alonso	1º	PT	IT
6	CORDEIRO LEONOR, Nuno Ricardo	Manuel García Sánchez Rafael da Silva Caldeirinha	1º	FT	TSC
7	DAI, Kaiss	Ana Fernández Vilas Rebeca P. Díaz Redondo	1º	FT	IT
8	FERNANDES CAIÑA, Miguel	Rebeca P. Díaz Redondo Ana Fernández Vilas	1º	PT	IT
9	MERA IGLESIAS, Moisés	José Luis Alba Castro	1º	FT	TSC
10	NAGHAR, Azzeddin	Ana Vázquez Alejos Othman Agzhout (Faculty of Science, Abdelmalek Essaadi University, Tetouan, Morocco)	1º	FT	TSC
11	NOCELO LÓPEZ, Rubén	María Verónica Santalla del Río	1º	FT	TSC
12	ORDÓÑEZ MORALES, Esteban	Yolanda Blanco Fernández Martín López Nores	1º	PT	IT
13	PACHECO VIEIRA, Bruno Miguel	José Carlos López Ardao	1º	FT	IT
14	PELLITERO RIVERO, Alexandre	Enrique Costa Montenegro	1º	PT	IT
15	PIDRE MOSQUERA, José Manuel	María Vera Isasa María Verónica Santalla del Río	1º	FT	TSC
16	RIEIRO TOMÉ, Hector	José Luis Alba Castro Stephen L. Macknik	1º	FT	TSC
17	ROBLES BYKBAEV, Vladimir E.	Martín López Nores Jorge García Duque	1º	PT	IT
18	GONZÁLEZ FIGUEROA, Damián	Roberto López Valcarce	2º	PT	TSC
19	GONZÁLEZ TATO, Juan	Martín Llamas Nistal	2º	FT	IT
20	MAGARINOS IGLESIAS, María del Carmen	Eduardo Rodríguez Banga	2º	FT	TSC
21	SILVA LEAL, Fátima Manuela da	Juan Carlos Burguillo Rial	2º	FT	IT
22	VALLADARES RODRÍGUEZ, Sonia María	Luis Anido Rifón Manuel Fernández Iglesias	2º	FT	IT